

What is the nature of the central compact X-ray source in the supernova remnant RCW 103

Sergei B.Popov

Sternberg Astronomical Institute, 119899,
Universitetskii pr.13, Moscow, Russia
e-mail: polar@xray.sai.msu.su
URL: <http://xray.sai.msu.su/~polar/>

Abstract

In this poster I discuss the nature of the compact X-ray source in the center of the supernova remnant RCW 103. Several models, based on the accretion onto a compact object such as a neutron star or a black hole (isolated or binary), are analyzed. I show that it is more likely that the central X-ray source is an accreting neutron star than an accreting black hole. I also argue that models of a disrupted binary system consisting of an old accreting neutron star and a new one observed as a 69-ms X-ray and radio pulsar are most favored.

1 Introduction

It is generally accepted that most of neutron stars (NSs) and black holes (BHs) are the products of supernova (SN) explosions (although there is also a possibility of a “quiet collapse”). In most cases a supernova remnant (SNR) appears after a *formidable* explosion of a massive star (with $M > 35M_{\odot}$). Although sometimes a young NS is observed inside a SNR as a radio pulsar (e.g., Crab, Vela, etc.) or as a X-ray source, in most cases no compact object is found inside a SNR, or an accidental coincidence of the radio pulsar and the SNR is very likely (e.g., Kaspi 1996, 1998; Frail 1997).

It is possible, that about 50% of NSs are born with low magnetic fields, so they never appear as radiopulsars and spend most of their lives on the Ejector (but not radiopulsar!, they are below the death-line!) and Propeller stages. These NSs with low magnetic fields can not spin-down significantly even during the Hubble time, and so they can never come to the Accretor stage and can't be observed as accretion-powered X-ray sources in correspondence with the observations made by the ROSAT (Haberl et al. 1996; Walter et al. 1996; Treves & Colpi 1991), which showed that only a few old isolated accreting NSs are observed. By the way, the ROSAT results also can be explained by high average velocity of NSs which they obtain due to assymetry of the SN explosion (the work on this topic is in progress now). For high-velocity NSs the characteristic Ejector period is higher, and NSs spend most of their lives as Ejectors. So radiopulsars, probably, are not the best representatives of the NS population, and very old, mostly undetected at the present time, NSs can have significantly different properties (probably even different initial properties: for example longer periods or, most probably, lower magnetic fields).

Recently, Gotthelf et al. (1997) described a compact X-ray source in the center of SNR RCW 103 with the X-ray luminosity $L_x \sim 10^{34} \text{ erg/s}$ (for the distance 3.3 kpc) and the black-body temperature about 0.6 keV . The source flux has varied since previous observations (Petre et al. 1998). The nature of the central compact source is unclear. No radio or optical compact counterpart was observed. Also a 69-ms X-ray and radio pulsar with a characteritic age about 8 kyr was discovered 7' from the center of the remnant (Kaspi 1998, Kaspi et al. 1998), but the reality of the association of the pulsar with the SNR is unclear (Dickel 1998). This result makes the situation around RCW 103 more complicated and interesting.

In this poster I discuss possible models of that compact central source and its possible connections with the 69-ms pulsar (the discussion partly coincides with my previous note (Popov 1997)).

2 What is inside the RCW 103?

Gotthelf et al. (1997) discussed why the source cannot be a cooling NS, a plerion, or a binary with a normal companion. The reader is referred to their paper for the details. In the present analysis I assume that the X-ray luminosity of the source is produced due to accretion of the surrounding material onto a compact object (a NS or a BH). I analyse thus only models with compact objects, isolated or with a compact companion (most probably the binary system was destroyed after the second explosion, when the 69-ms pulsar was formed). Massive normal companions are excluded by optical observations. If the companion is a low-mass star, it is difficult to explain the X-ray luminosity as high as observed in the RCW 103 because in low-mass systems accretion usually occurs after the Roche lobe is overflowed with higher luminosities.

The main challenge for the models of accretion of the surrounding material onto isolated compact object is to answer the question of where a NS or a BH finds enough matter to accrete. I don't discuss it here, assuming that the material is available in the surrounding medium (see, for example, Page et al. 1998).

2.1 Accreting isolated young black hole or accreting old black hole in pair with a young compact object

An isolated BH accreting the interstellar medium can be, in principle, observed by X-ray satellites such as *ROSAT*, *ASCA* etc (Heckler & Kolb 1996). To achieve high X-ray luminosity, a compact object must move with a low velocity relative the ISM:

$$\dot{M} = 2\pi \left(\frac{(GM)^2 \rho}{(V_s^2 + V^2)^{3/2}} \right), \quad (1)$$

where V_s is the speed of sound, V is the velocity of the compact object with respect to the ambient medium, M – the mass of the accreting star and ρ is the density of the accreting material. One can introduce the effective velocity, V_{eff} , and rewrite eq. (1) as follows:

$$\dot{M} = 2\pi((GM)^2 \rho)/(V_{eff}^3).$$

During the SN explosions a compact object can obtain an additional kick velocity. At the present time the distribution of the kick velocity is not known well enough (e.g., Lipunov et al. 1996). Although observations of radio pulsars favour high kick velocities about 300 – 500 km/s (Lyne & Lorimer 1994), alternative scenarios in which the velocity of NSs significantly increases after the SN explodes are also possible (Kaspi 1996; Frail 1997). We mark here, that if the 69-ms pulsar is a new born NS, and the central source is the older object, it is not surprising, that the 69-ms pulsar is farther from the center of the SNR. Because the new born NS recieved a high kick velocity (the required transverse velocity is about 800 km/s (Kaspi 1998)), and the old one only saved its orbital velocity, because the system survived in the first explosion. X-ray radiation of the new born NS of course doesn't have the accretion nature.

To explain the observed X-ray luminosity of the compact object in the center of RCW 103 the accretion rate, $\dot{M}$, should be about 10^{14} g/s. For all models that consider accretion onto an isolated compact object, the density required to obtain $L_x \sim 10^{34}$ erg/s is as high as 10^{-22} g/cm³.

One can then estimate the size of the emitting region, using observed luminosity and temperature: $L = 4\pi \cdot R_{emm}^2 \sigma T^4$

For observed values of L_x and T this equation gives $R_{emm} \sim 1$ km. For BHs such a low value of R_{emm} is very unlikely because the gravitational radius is about $R_G \sim 3$ km ($M/M_\odot$), and most of the present BH-candidates have masses about 7 – 10 $M_\odot$. This is probably the main argument against isolated accreting BH as a model for the RCW 103. Also the efficiency of spherically symmetric accretion onto a BH is very low resulting in a significantly higher density required to achieve the same luminosity.

The same arguments can be used against models with a binary system (probably disrupted): BH+NS (NS was born in the recent SN explosion – a 69-ms pulsar).

2.2 Accreting isolated young neutron star

In the past few years isolated accreting NSs have become a subject of great interest especially due to the observations with the *ROSAT* satellite (Treves & Colpi, 1991; Walter et al. 1996; Haberl et al. 1996). In this subsection I will present arguments that the compact X-ray source in RCW 103 can be an isolated accreting NS and will estimate some properties of that NS.

There are four main possible stages for a NS in a low-density plasma: 1). Ejector (a radio pulsar is an example of Ejector); 2). Propeller; 3). Accretor; and 4). Georotator (Lipunov & Popov 1995; Konenkov & Popov 1997; Popov & Konenkov 1998). The stage is determined by the accretion rate, $\dot{M}$, the magnetic field of the NS, B , and by the spin period of the NS, p .

If the NS is on the Accretor stage, then its period is longer than the so-called Accretor period, P_A :

$$P_A = 2^{5/14} \pi (GM)^{-5/7} (\mu^2 / \dot{M})^{3/7} \text{ sec}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mu = B \cdot R_{NS}^3$ is magnetic moment of the NS.

For the RCW 103 I use the following values: $\dot{M} = 10^{14} \text{ g/s}$, $M = 1.4 M_\odot$, $R_{NS} = 10^6 \text{ cm}$ which give:

$$B \sim 10^{10} \cdot p^{7/6} \text{ G}. \quad (3)$$

If material is accreted from the turbulent interstellar medium, a new equilibrium period can occur (Konenkov & Popov 1997; Popov & Konenkov 1998):

$$P_{eq} \sim 30 B_{12}^{2/3} I_{45}^{1/3} \dot{M}_{14}^{-2/3} R_{NS6}^2 V_{eff6}^{7/3} V_{t6}^{-2/3} M_{1.4}^{-4/3} \text{ sec}, \quad (4)$$

where V_t is the turbulent velocity (all velocities are in units of 10 km/s); $M_{1.4}$ is the mass of the NS in units of $1.4 M_\odot$, B_{12} is the magnetic field of the NS in units 10^{12} G and R_{NS} is the radius of the NS in units of 10^6 cm .

We then obtain:

$$B \sim 8 \cdot 10^9 \cdot p^{3/2} \text{ G}. \quad (5)$$

It is obvious that to explain the luminosity of the RCW 103 by an isolated accreting NS, one must assume that the NS was born with extremely low magnetic field (see the remark above) or with unusually long spin period. The age of the SNR RCW 103 is about 1000 years (Gotthelf et al. 1997), which means that the magnetic field could not decay significantly (Konenkov & Popov 1997; Popov & Konenkov 1998). The flux of the source is not constant (Petre et al. 1998), so the idea of cooling NS can be rejected. Thus, the model with isolated young accreting NS is not a likely explanation for the data.

2.3 Accreting old neutron star in pair with a young neutron star (or in the disrupted system)

Binary compact objects are natural products of binary evolution (Lipunov et al. 1996). One can, therefore, discuss these scenarios as a viable alternative.

In the previous subsection I showed that accretion onto a young isolated NS requires unusual initial parameters. However, there is a chance that we observe a binary system (or a disrupted binary), where one component is an old NS and the other component was formed in a recent SN explosion and appears as a 69-ms pulsar.

In that case, the parameters determined by eqs.(3), (5) are not unusual: old NS can have low magnetic fields and long periods (Lipunov & Popov 1995; Konenkov & Popov 1997; Popov & Konenkov 1998). Due to the fact that Gotthelf et al. (1997) did not find any periodic change of the luminosity, one can argue that the field is too low to produce the observable modulation (the accreting material is not channeled to the polar caps: $B < 10^6 G$) or that the period is very long ($p > 10^4 sec$), which is possible for old NSs with “normal” magnetic fields (Lipunov & Popov 1995): $P \approx 500 sec$. The last opportunity is, probably, better, as the emitting area is not large $\approx 1 km^2$.

The evolutionary scenario for such a system is clear enough (Lipunov et al. 1996). One can easily calculate it using the “Scenario Machine” WWW-facility (<http://xray.sai.msu.su/sciwork/scenario.html>; Nazin et al. 1996). For example, two stars with masses $15 M_\odot$ and $14 M_\odot$ on the main sequence with the initial separation $200 R_\odot$, $R_\odot$ – the solar radius, after 14 Myr (with two SN explosions with low kick velocities: about $60 km/s$) end their evolution as a binary system NS+NS. The second NS is 1 Myr younger. During 1 Myr the magnetic field can decrease up to 1/100 of the initial value with a significant spin-down (Konenkov & Popov 1997; Popov & Konenkov 1998). The binary NS+NS is relatively wide: $20 R_\odot$ with an orbital period 5.8^d , so the orbital velocity is not high (the orbital velocity of the accreting NS should be added to V_{eff}).

The 69-ms X-ray pulsing source and its radiopulsar counterpart that were discovered near RCW 103 (Kaspi 1998, Kaspi et al. 1998) can be a newborn radiopulsar. So, it means that the binary system was disrupted after the second explosion. It means that in the first explosion the kick velocity was small (about $50 km/s$ in the opposite case the system could be disrupted after the first explosion and the older NS could leave the SNR before the second explosion, but if the orbit was significantly eccentric, the kick velocity in the first explosion could be high too) and in the second explosion it was as high as $750-800 km/s$ for the same initial parameters as in the previous example.

Of course other variants of the initial parameters are possible, and I showed this one just as a simple example.

3 Conclusions

To conclude, I argued that the most likely model for the central compact X-ray source of RCW 103 is that of an accreting old NS in a disrupted binary system with a young compact object (the 69-ms pulsar) born in the recent SN explosion that produced the observed supernova remnant. Such systems are rare, but natural products of the binary evolution. Scenarios with single compact objects or with accreting BH are less probable.

Acknowledgements

I thank M.E. Prokhorov and V.M. Lipunov for helpful discussions, E.V. Gotthelf for the information about RCW 103, A.V. Kravtsov for his comments on the text and the “Scenario Machine” group for the WWW-version of the program. The work was supported by the RFFI (98-02-16801), the INTAS (96-0315), NTP “Astronomy” (1.4.4.1) and the ISSEP (a98-1920) grants.

Note added July 20, 1998

As I found out only now, some ideas about a disrupted binary in RCW 103 were discussed earlier in the article Torii et al, ApJ 494, L207, 1998

References

- [1] Frail, D.A., 1997, in NATO Advanced Study Institute: “The Many Faces of Neutron Stars”, eds. A. Alpar, R. Bucceri, and J. van Paradijs
- [2] Haberl F., Pietsch, W., Motch, C., Buckley, D.A.H., Circ. IAU, 1996, no. 6445.
- [3] Heckler, A.F. & Kolb, E.W., 1996, ApJ 472, L85
- [4] Gotthelf, E. V., Petre, R. & Hwang, U., 1997, astro-ph/9707035
- [5] Kaspi, V.M., 1996, in IAU Colloquium 160 ”Pulsars: Problems and Progress” conference proceedings, editors S. Johnston, M. Bailes & M. Walker, ASP Conference Series Vol. 105, p. 375
- [6] Kaspi, V.M., 1998, astro-ph/9803026
- [7] Konenkov D.Yu., & Popov, S.B., 1997, PAZh, 23, 569 (astro-ph/9707318)
- [8] Page, D., Geppert, U, & Zannias, T, 1998, booklet of the Workshop on the relation between neutron stars and supernova remnants
- [9] Petre, R., Gotthelf, E.V., & Hwang, U., 1998, Ibid.
- [10] Dickel, J.R., 1998, Ibid.
- [11] Kaspi, V.M. et al., 1998, Ibid.
- [12] Popov, S.B., & Konenkov D.Yu., 1998, Radiofizika, 41, 28
- [13] Popov, S.B., 1997, astro-ph/9708044
- [14] Nazin, S.N., Lipunov, V.M., Panchenko, I.E., Postnov, K.A., Prokhorov, M.E. & Popov, S.B., 1996, astro-ph/9605184
- [15] Lipunov, V.M. & Popov, S.B., 1995, AZh, 71, 711 (see the English translation in Astronomy Reports, 1995, 39, 632 and also astro-ph/9609185)
- [16] Lipunov, V.M., Postnov, K.A. & Prokhorov, M.E., 1996, Astroph. and Space Phys. Rev. 9, part 4
- [17] Lyne, A.G. & Lorimer, D.R., 1994, Nat 369, 127
- [18] Treves, A. & Colpi, M., 1991, A & A, 241, 107
- [19] Walter, F.M., Wolk, S.J., & Neuhauser, R., 1996, Nat , 379, 233